

REVIEW

Article _____

Title of the article

Authors: _____

(surname and initials)

Yes / No

1.	Does the article fit areas of veterinary medicine, biology, biosafety issues?	
2.	Does the title of the article corresponds to its content?	
3.	Is there scientific innovation?	
4.	Does the article contain all the required sections: relevance, purpose and objectives, materials and methods, results, conclusions, annotations?	
5.	The introduction reveals the current state and urgency of the problem	
6.	The reliability of the experimental data presented in the paper, is beyond doubt and their statistical analysis (if this necessary) conducted right	
7.	The conclusions follow logically from the results of studies published precisely in this work and meet its purpose and objectives	
8.	There is no unwanted duplication of experimental data (for example, in the table and on the graph) in the article	
9.	The list of references is dominated by reference to the work of the last years	
10.	References and citation formatted according to the Harvard style only	
11.	Compliance with generally accepted rules shortening	
12.	Explanation of abbreviations when first using it	
13.	Completeness of providing a basic annotation results	
14.	Does the article need completion?	
15.	Can the article be published in the submitted form?	

Reviewer's comments:

- 1.
- 2.

Please cite specific criticisms (if any) of any of the sections. If the review is negative, it should be thoroughly motivated reasons.

The conclusions of the reviewer:

The article can be published in the «Journal for veterinary medicine, biotechnology and biosafety» (in the form provided by the author after minor revision, after completion of the author, according to reviewer comments; after the reduction; after attracting additional factual material; etc.).

The article cannot be published.

Reviewer

position, scientific degree